

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMEI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.J. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/082,567	(02/25/2002	Tom Tse	1458.TT4763	1458.TT4763 3170		
34456	7590	11/20/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER		
TOLER & 1 PO BOX 295		& ABEL L.L.P.		EL SHAMMA	FL SHAMMAA, MARY A		
AUSTIN, TX 78755-9567			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2881			

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6/						
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)							
	10/082,567	TSE ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Mary A. El-Shammaa	2881							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she twith the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If the 31% (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period of reply is specified above, the numarium statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing canned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		nety filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 /	August 2003.								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims									
4) ◯ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-19 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ◯ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,9-15,19 and 21</u> is/are rejected 7) ◯ Claim(s) <u>6-8,16-18 and 22-24</u> is/are objected	awn from consideration.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) Solid Soli									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:								

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction filed August 25, 2003 is approved by the Examiner.

Corrected drawings are required in response to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-3, 5, 9-15, 19, and 21, in the *broadest reasonable interpretation*, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Halling (6,600,163).

Regarding claims 1, 11-15, and 19, Halling discloses in Fig. 1 a method and system of exposing a target material (W) to an ion beam (14, 18) in an ion implantation system (10), comprising a memory (58); a processor (60) operably connected to the memory (58); detecting

Application/Control Number: 10/082,567

Art Unit: 2881

an ion beam at a first location (18b) with a first detector (38); detecting the ion beam at a second location (18a) with a second detector (40) at the same time as the first detector; quantifying an amount of ion beam neutralization based upon a measurement deviation between the first detector and the second detector; and controlling a characteristic of the ion beam of the implantation system based upon the amount of ion beam neutralization (Col. 2, Lines 59-63; Col. 3, Lines 17-22 and 47-58; Col. 4, Lines 20-64; Col. 5, Lines 24-28 and 61-65; Col. 6, Lines 5-8).

Regarding claims 2-3, Halling discloses the target material being a semiconductor substrate or any substance to be implanted using the ion beam (Col. 1, Lines 12-15).

Regarding claims 5, 9-10, and 21, Halling discloses the characteristic being selected from a group consisting of: beam current, beam energy, beam scan rate, vacuum, gas pressure, and ion dose (Col. 4, Lines 20-47).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-8, 16-18, and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claims 6 and 22, the prior art fails to teach or fairly suggest, in addition to the accompanying features of the claims, a step of quantifying an amount of ion beam neutralization comprising determination of a reference ratio, a current ratio, and determining a charge neutralization component of a beam based on the reference and current ratios. Claims 7-8, 16-18, and 23-24 contain allowable subject matter by virtue of their dependency.

Application/Control Number: 10/082,567

Art Unit: 2881

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary A. El-Shammaa whose telephone number is 703,308,0851. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30am-5:00pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,567 Page 5

Art Unit: 2881

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John R. Lee can be reached on 703.308.4116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.872.9317.

MAE

November 13, 2003

A CO